

FHSS L6 Locating and Evaluating Evidence for Professional Practice (Year-2019-2020)

View Online



1.

Aveyard, H.: Doing a literature review in health and social care: a practical guide. McGraw Hill Education, London, England (2019).

2.

Craig, J.V. and Smyth, R.L.: The evidence-based practice manual for nurses. Churchill Livingstone Elsevier, Edinburgh (2011).

3.

Ellis, P.: Understanding research for nursing students. Learning Matters, Exeter (2010).

4.

Gerrish, K. and Lathlean, J.: The research process in nursing. Wiley Blackwell, Chichester (2015).

5.

Greenhalgh, T.: How to read a paper: the basics of evidence-based medicine. Wiley, Chichester, West Sussex, UK (2014).

6.

Parahoo, K.: Nursing research: principles, process and issues. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke, Hampshire (2014).

7.

CASP (Critical Appraisal Skills Programme), <http://www.casp-uk.net/>.

8.

Centre for Health Evidence, <https://www.nature.com/articles/6400109>.

9.

Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, The University of York, <http://www.york.ac.uk/crd/>.

10.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), <http://www.nice.org.uk/>.

11.

Ellis, P.: Understanding research for nursing students. Learning Matters, Exeter (2010).

12.

Craig, J.V. and Smyth, R.L.: The evidence-based practice manual for nurses. Churchill Livingstone Elsevier, Edinburgh (2011).

13.

Parahoo, K.: Nursing research: principles, process and issues. Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke, Hampshire (2014).

14.

Roberts, P. and Priest, H.: Reliability and validity in research. Nursing standard. 20, 41–45 (2006).

15.

Hewitt-Taylor, J.: Evidence-based practice. *Nursing Standard*. 17, 47–52 (2002).

16.

Bulpitt, H. and Martin, P.J.: Who am I and what am I doing? Becoming a qualitative research interviewer. *Nurse Researcher*. 17, 7–16 (2010).

17.

Holloway, I.: *Qualitative research in nursing and healthcare*. Wiley Blackwell, Chichester, England (2017).

18.

Szyjka, S.: Understanding research paradigms: trends in science education research. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century*. 43, 110–118 (2012).

19.

Vogt, W.P.: *Dictionary of statistics & methodology: a nontechnical guide for the social sciences*. SAGE, Los Angeles, [Calif.] (2005).

20.

Ingham-Broomfield, R.: A nurses' guide to the critical reading of research. *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 26, 102–109 (2008).

21.

Nevo, I. and Slonim-Nevo, V.: The Myth of Evidence-Based Practice: Towards Evidence-Informed Practice. *British Journal of Social Work*. 41, 1176–1197 (2011).

22.

Caldwell, K., Henshaw, L. and Taylor, G.: Developing a framework for critiquing health research: An early evaluation. *Nurse Education Today*. 31, e1–e7 (2011).

23.

Hek, G.: Evidence-based practice: finding the evidence. *Journal of Community Nursing*. 14, 19–22 (2000).

24.

Cranston, M.: Clinical effectiveness and evidence-based practice. *Nursing Standard*. 16, 39–43 (2002).

25.

Meadows, K.A.: So you want to do research? 1: an overview of the research process. *British Journal Of Community Nursing*. 8, 369–375 (2003).

26.

Improving the quality of quantitative research reports: A call for action. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*. 22, 387–394 (2011).

27.

Rolfe, R.: Validity, trustworthiness and rigour: quality and the idea of qualitative research. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 53, 304–310 (2006).

28.

Facchiano, L., Hoffman Snyder, C.: Evidence-based practice for the busy nurse practitioner: Part three: Critical appraisal process. *Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners*. 24, 704–715 (2012).
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-7599.2012.00752.x>.

29.

Satherley, P., Allen, D. and Lyne, P.: Supporting evidence-based service delivery and organisation: a comparison of an emergent realistic appraisal technique with a standard qualitative critical appraisal tool. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*. 5, 477-486 (2007).

30.

Karabacak, U., Uslusoy, E.C., Senturan, L. and Alpar, S.E.: First day in clinical practice: Evaluating stress of nursing students and their ways to cope with it. *Healthmed*. (2012).

31.

Coolican, H.: *Research methods and statistics in psychology*. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, London (2019).

32.

Gerrish, K. and Lathlean, J.: *The research process in nursing*. Wiley Blackwell, Chichester (2015).

33.

Holloway, I. and Galvin, K.: *Qualitative research in nursing and healthcare*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Ames, Iowa (2017).

34.

Coughlan, M., Cronin, P. and Ryan, F.: Step-by-step guide to critiquing research. Part 1: quantitative research. *British Journal of Nursing*. 16, 658-663 (2007).

35.

Goodman, B.: Crunch the numbers. *Nursing Standard*. 22, 49-49 (2008).

36.

Jamieson, S.: Likert scales: how to (ab)use them. *Medical Education*. 38, 1217–1218 (2004).

37.

Lee, P.: Understanding and critiquing quantitative research papers. *Nursing Times*. 102, 28–30 (2006).

38.

Roberts, P. and Priest, H.: Reliability and validity in research. *Nursing standard*. 20, 41–45 (2006).

39.

Thomas, E.: An introduction to medical statistics for health care professionals: describing and presenting data. *Musculoskeletal Care*. 2, 218–228 (2004).

40.

Thomas, E.: An introduction to medical statistics for health care professionals: Hypothesis tests and estimation. *Musculoskeletal Care*. 3, 102–108 (2005).

41.

Windish, D.M. and Diener-West, M.: A clinician-educator's roadmap to choosing and interpreting statistical tests. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 21, 656–660 (2006).

42.

Heaslip, V., Hewitt-Taylor, J. and Rowe, N.E.: Reflecting on nurses' views on using research in practice. *British Journal of Nursing*. 21, 1341–1346 (2012).

43.

NHS Institute for Innovation and Improvement: Root Cause Analysis Using Five Whys, <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20121116081144/https://www.institute.nhs.uk/q>

quality_and_service_improvement_tools/quality_and_service_improvement_tools/identifying_problems_-_root_cause_analysis_using5_whys.html.

44.

Phillips, J.: Using fishbone analysis to investigate problems. Nursing Times. 109, 18-20 (2013).

45.

Overseas Development Institute (ODI): Planning tools: Problem Tree Analysis, <http://www.odi.org/publications/5258-problem-tree-analysis>.

46.

Value Based Management.net: Summary of McKinsey's 7S model, http://www.valuebasedmanagement.net/methods_7S.html.

47.

De Montfort University: How to undertake a literature search and review for dissertations and final year projects, <http://www.library.dmu.ac.uk/Images/Howto/LiteratureSearch.pdf>.

48.

University of Reading: Doing your literature search, <http://www.reading.ac.uk/library/finding-info/guides/literature-searching/lib-literature-searching.aspx>.

49.

CASP International: www.caspinternational.org, http://www.caspinternational.org/mod_product/uploads/CASP%20Qualitative%20Research%20Checklist%2031.05.13.pdf.

50.

Checklist - Evaluating qualitative research studies,
<http://wps.prenhall.com/wps/media/objects/1532/1569569/checklists/chap07b.pdf>.

51.

Anderson, C.: Presenting and Evaluating Qualitative Research. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*. 74, 1–7 (2010).

52.

Pyrzczak, F. and Tcherni-Buzzeo, M.: *Evaluating research in academic journals: a practical guide to realistic evaluation*. Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group, New York (2019).

53.

Timmins, F. and McCabe, C.: How to conduct an effective literature search. (cover story). *Nursing Standard*. 20, 41–47 (2005).

54.

Greenhalgh, T.: How to read a paper: Assessing the methodological quality of published papers. *BMJ*. 315, 305–308 (1997).

55.

Greenhalgh, T.: How to read a paper : getting your bearings (deciding what the paper is about). *BMJ*. 315, 243–246 (1997).

56.

Greenhalgh, T.: How to read a paper: The Medline database. *BMJ*. 315, 180–183 (1997).

57.

Greenhalgh, T.: How to read a paper: Statistics for the non-statistician. I: Different types of data need different statistical tests. *BMJ*. 315, 364–366 (1997).

58.

Ellis, P.: Evidence-based practice in nursing. Learning Matters, Los Angeles (2019).

59.

Ellis, P.: Understanding research for nursing students. Learning Matters, Los Angeles (2018).

60.

Motulsky, H.: Intuitive biostatistics: a nonmathematical guide to statistical thinking. Oxford University Press, New York (2014).

61.

Moule, P.: Making sense of research in nursing, health and social care. SAGE, Los Angeles (2015).

62.

Moule, P., Aveyard, H. and Goodman, M.L.: Nursing research: an introduction. SAGE, Los Angeles (2017).

63.

Polit, D.F. and Beck, C.T.: Essentials of nursing research: appraising evidence for nursing practice. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia (2017).

64.

Polit, D.F.: Nursing research : generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice. Wolters Kluwer Health, Philadelphia (2017).

65.

Rebar, C.R., Gersch, C.J., Macnee, C.L. and McCabe, S.: Understanding nursing research:

using research in evidence-based practice. Wolters Kluwer/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia, Pa (2011).

66.

Vogt, W.P. and Johnson, B.: The SAGE dictionary of statistics & methodology: a nontechnical guide for the social sciences. SAGE, Los Angeles (2016).