

FHSS L6 Locating and Evaluating Evidence for Professional Practice (Year-2019-2020)

View Online



1.

Aveyard, H. Doing a literature review in health and social care: a practical guide [Internet]. Fourth edition. London, England: McGraw Hill Education; 2019. Available from: <https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/1053574989>

2.

Craig, J.V. and Smyth, R.L. The evidence-based practice manual for nurses [Internet]. 3rd ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier; 2011. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bournemouth-ebooks/detail.action?docID=1721691>

3.

Ellis, P. Understanding research for nursing students [Internet]. Exeter: Learning Matters; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bournemouth-ebooks/detail.action?docID=680104>

4.

Gerrish, K. and Lathlean, J. The research process in nursing [Internet]. Seventh edition. Chichester: Wiley Blackwell; 2015. Available from: <https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/1313862522>

5.

Greenhalgh, T. How to read a paper: the basics of evidence-based medicine [Internet]. Fifth edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: Wiley; 2014. Available from:

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bournemouth-ebooks/detail.action?docID=1642418>

6.

Parahoo, K. Nursing research: principles, process and issues [Internet]. Third edition. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from: <https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/874930505>

7.

CASP (Critical Appraisal Skills Programme) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.casp-uk.net/>

8.

Centre for Health Evidence [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/6400109>

9.

Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, The University of York [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.york.ac.uk/crd/>

10.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) [Internet]. NICE; Available from: <http://www.nice.org.uk/>

11.

Ellis, P. Understanding research for nursing students [Internet]. Exeter: Learning Matters; 2010. Available from: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bournemouth-ebooks/detail.action?docID=680104>

12.

Craig, J.V. and Smyth, R.L. The evidence-based practice manual for nurses [Internet]. 3rd ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier; 2011. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bournemouth-ebooks/detail.action?docID=1721691>

13.

Parahoo, K. Nursing research: principles, process and issues [Internet]. Third edition. Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan; 2014. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/874930505>

14.

Roberts, P. and Priest, H. Reliability and validity in research. Nursing standard [Internet]. 2006;20(44):41-45. Available from:
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?authtype=ip,shib&custid=s7547708&direct=true&db=ccm&AN=106336170&site=eds-live&scope=site>

15.

Hewitt-Taylor, J. Evidence-based practice. Nursing Standard [Internet]. 2002;17(14):47-52. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://journals.rcni.com/doi/pdfplus/10.7748/ns.2002.12.17.14.47.c3320>

16.

Bulpitt, H. and Martin, P.J. Who am I and what am I doing? Becoming a qualitative research interviewer. Nurse Researcher [Internet]. 2010;17(3):7-16. Available from:
<https://dx.doi.org/10.7748/nr2010.04.17.3.7.c7741>

17.

Holloway, I. Qualitative research in nursing and healthcare [Internet]. Fourth edition. Chichester, England: Wiley Blackwell; 2017. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/965607286>

18.

Szyjka, S. Understanding research paradigms: trends in science education research. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century* [Internet]. 2012;43:110–118. Available from: <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?authtype=ip,shib&custid=s7547708&direct=true&db=eue&AN=77924598&site=ehost-live>

19.

Vogt, W.P. *Dictionary of statistics & methodology: a nontechnical guide for the social sciences* [Internet]. 3rd ed. Los Angeles, [Calif.]: SAGE; 2005. Available from: <https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://SRMO.sagepub.com/view/dictionary-of-statistics-methodology/SAGE.xml>

20.

Ingham-Broomfield, R. A nurses' guide to the critical reading of research. *Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing* [Internet]. 2008;26(1):102–109. Available from: <https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/7128380375>

21.

Nevo, I. and Slonim-Nevo, V. The Myth of Evidence-Based Practice: Towards Evidence-Informed Practice. *British Journal of Social Work* [Internet]. 2011;41(6):1176–1197. Available from: <https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5113553372>

22.

Caldwell, K., Henshaw, L. and Taylor, G. Developing a framework for critiquing health research: An early evaluation. *Nurse Education Today* [Internet]. 2011;31(8):e1–e7. Available from: <https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5903010954>

23.

Hek, G. Evidence-based practice: finding the evidence. *Journal of Community Nursing*. 2000;14(11):19–22.

24.

Cranston, M. Clinical effectiveness and evidence-based practice. *Nursing Standard*

[Internet]. 2002;16(24):39–43. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://journals.rcni.com/doi/pdf/10.7748/ns2002.02.16.24.39.c3161>

25.

Meadows, K.A. So you want to do research? 1: an overview of the research process. *British Journal Of Community Nursing* [Internet]. 2003;8(8):369–375. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/112112984>

26.

Improving the quality of quantitative research reports: A call for action. *Human Resource Development Quarterly* [Internet]. 2011;22(4):387–394. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5155057658>

27.

Rolfe, R. Validity, trustworthiness and rigour: quality and the idea of qualitative research. *Journal of Advanced Nursing* [Internet]. 2006;53(3):304–310. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5155955776>

28.

Facchiano L, Hoffman Snyder C. Evidence-based practice for the busy nurse practitioner: Part three: Critical appraisal process. *Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners*. 2012 Dec;24(12):704–715.

29.

Satherley, P., Allen, D. and Lyne, P. Supporting evidence-based service delivery and organisation: a comparison of an emergent realistic appraisal technique with a standard qualitative critical appraisal tool. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare* [Internet]. 2007;5(4):477–486. Available from:
<https://onlinelibrary-wiley-com.bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1479-6988.2007.00062.x>

30.

Karabacak, U., Uslusoy, E.C., Senturan, L. and Alpar, S.E. First day in clinical practice: Evaluating stress of nursing students and their ways to cope with it. Healthmed [Internet]. 2012; Available from:
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289481269_First_day_in_clinical_practice_Evaluating_stress_of_nursing_students_and_their_ways_to_cope_with_it

31.

Coolican, H. Research methods and statistics in psychology [Internet]. Seventh edition. London: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2019. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/1079201945>

32.

Gerrish, K. and Lathlean, J. The research process in nursing [Internet]. Seventh edition. Chichester: Wiley Blackwell; 2015. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/1313862522>

33.

Holloway, I. and Galvin, K. Qualitative research in nursing and healthcare [Internet]. Fourth edition. Ames, Iowa: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2017. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/965607286>

34.

Coughlan, M., Cronin, P. and Ryan, F. Step-by-step guide to critiquing research. Part 1: quantitative research. British Journal of Nursing [Internet]. 2007;16(11):658-663. Available from: <https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/160007395>

35.

Goodman, B. Crunch the numbers. Nursing Standard [Internet]. 2008;22(29):49-49. Available from:
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?authtype=ip,shib&custid=s7547708&direct=true&db=ccm&AN=105914080&site=eds-live&scope=site>

36.

Jamieson, S. Likert scales: how to (ab)use them. *Medical Education* [Internet]. 2004;38(12):1217–1218. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/4599858461>

37.

Lee, P. Understanding and critiquing quantitative research papers. *Nursing Times* [Internet]. 2006;102(28):28–30. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00006203-200607110-00004&LSLINK=80&D=ovft>

38.

Roberts, P. and Priest, H. Reliability and validity in research. *Nursing standard* [Internet]. 2006;20(44):41–45. Available from:
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?authtype=ip,shib&custid=s7547708&direct=true&db=ccm&AN=106336170&site=eds-live&scope=site>

39.

Thomas, E. An introduction to medical statistics for health care professionals: describing and presenting data. *Musculoskeletal Care* [Internet]. 2004;2(4):218–228. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5156019079>

40.

Thomas, E. An introduction to medical statistics for health care professionals: Hypothesis tests and estimation. *Musculoskeletal Care* [Internet]. 2005;3(2):102–108. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5156019543>

41.

Windish, D.M. and Diener-West, M. A clinician-educator's roadmap to choosing and interpreting statistical tests. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* [Internet]. 2006;21(6):656–660. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5156962012>

42.

Heaslip, V., Hewitt-Taylor, J. and Rowe, N.E. Reflecting on nurses' views on using research in practice. *British Journal of Nursing* [Internet]. 2012;21(22):1341–1346. Available from: <https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5813493486>

43.

NHS Institute for Innovation and Improvement. Root Cause Analysis Using Five Whys [Internet]. Available from: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20121116081144/https://www.institute.nhs.uk/quality_and_service_improvement_tools/quality_and_service_improvement_tools/identifying_problems_-_root_cause_analysis_using5_whys.html

44.

Phillips, J. Using fishbone analysis to investigate problems. *Nursing Times* [Internet]. 2013;109(15):18–20. Available from: <https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00006203-201304160-00004&LSLINK=80&D=ovft>

45.

Overseas Development Institute (ODI). Planning tools: Problem Tree Analysis [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.odi.org/publications/5258-problem-tree-analysis>

46.

Value Based Management.net. Summary of McKinsey's 7S model [Internet]. Available from: http://www.valuebasedmanagement.net/methods_7S.html

47.

De Montfort University. How to undertake a literature search and review for dissertations and final year projects [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.library.dmu.ac.uk/Images/Howto/LiteratureSearch.pdf>

48.

University of Reading. Doing your literature search [Internet]. Available from:

<http://www.reading.ac.uk/library/finding-info/guides/literature-searching/lib-literature-searching.aspx>

49.

CASP International. www.caspinternational.org [Internet]. Available from:
http://www.caspinternational.org/mod_product/uploads/CASP%20Qualitative%20Research%20Checklist%2031.05.13.pdf

50.

Checklist - Evaluating qualitative research studies [Internet]. Available from:
<http://wps.prenhall.com/wps/media/objects/1532/1569569/checklists/chap07b.pdf>

51.

Anderson, C. Presenting and Evaluating Qualitative Research. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education* [Internet]. 2010;74(8):1-7. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/704714069>

52.

Pyrczak, F. and Tcherni-Buzzeo, M. *Evaluating research in academic journals: a practical guide to realistic evaluation* [Internet]. Seventh edition. New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group; 2019. Available from:
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/Bournemouth-ebooks/detail.action?docID=5574225>

53.

Timmins, F. and McCabe, C. How to conduct an effective literature search. (cover story). *Nursing Standard* [Internet]. 2005;20(11):41-47. Available from:
<https://dx.doi.org/10.7748/ns2005.11.20.11.41.c4010>

54.

Greenhalgh, T. How to read a paper: Assessing the methodological quality of published papers. *BMJ* [Internet]. 1997;315(7103):305-308. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://www.bmj.com/content/315/7103/305>

55.

Greenhalgh, T. How to read a paper : getting your bearings (deciding what the paper is about). *BMJ* [Internet]. 1997;315(7102):243–246. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://www.bmj.com/content/315/7102/243>

56.

Greenhalgh, T. How to read a paper: The Medline database. *BMJ* [Internet]. 1997;315(7101):180–183. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5855936760>

57.

Greenhalgh, T. How to read a paper: Statistics for the non-statistician. I: Different types of data need different statistical tests. *BMJ* [Internet]. 1997;315(7104):364–366. Available from:
<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://www.bmj.com/content/315/7104/364>

58.

Ellis, P. *Evidence-based practice in nursing*. Fourth edition. Los Angeles: Learning Matters; 2019.

59.

Ellis, P. *Understanding research for nursing students*. Fourth edition. Los Angeles: Learning Matters; 2018.

60.

Motulsky, H. *Intuitive biostatistics: a nonmathematical guide to statistical thinking*. Third edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 2014.

61.

Moule, P. Making sense of research in nursing, health and social care. Fifth edition. Los Angeles: SAGE; 2015.

62.

Moule, P., Aveyard, H. and Goodman, M.L. Nursing research: an introduction. 3rd edition. Los Angeles: SAGE; 2017.

63.

Polit, D.F. and Beck, C.T. Essentials of nursing research: appraising evidence for nursing practice. Ninth edition. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2017.

64.

Polit, D.F. Nursing research : generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice. Tenth edit. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health; 2017.

65.

Rebar, C.R., Gersch, C.J., Macnee, C.L. and McCabe, S. Understanding nursing research: using research in evidence-based practice. 3rd ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Wolters Kluwer/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2011.

66.

Vogt, W.P. and Johnson, B. The SAGE dictionary of statistics & methodology: a nontechnical guide for the social sciences. Fifth edition. Los Angeles: SAGE; 2016.