

FHSS L6 Locating and Evaluating Evidence for Professional Practice (Year-2019-2020)

View Online



1

Aveyard, H. Doing a literature review in health and social care: a practical guide. Fourth edition. London, England: : McGraw Hill Education 2019.
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/1053574989>

2

Craig, J.V. and Smyth, R.L. The evidence-based practice manual for nurses. 3rd ed. Edinburgh: : Churchill Livingstone Elsevier 2011.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bournemouth-ebooks/detail.action?docID=1721691>

3

Ellis, P. Understanding research for nursing students. Exeter: : Learning Matters 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bournemouth-ebooks/detail.action?docID=680104>

4

Gerrish, K. and Lathlean, J. The research process in nursing. Seventh edition. Chichester: : Wiley Blackwell 2015. <https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/1313862522>

5

Greenhalgh, T. How to read a paper: the basics of evidence-based medicine. Fifth edition. Chichester, West Sussex, UK: : Wiley 2014.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bournemouth-ebooks/detail.action?docID=1642418>

6

Parahoo, K. Nursing research: principles, process and issues. Third edition. Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2014.
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/874930505>

7

CASP (Critical Appraisal Skills Programme). <http://www.casp-uk.net/>

8

Centre for Health Evidence. <https://www.nature.com/articles/6400109>

9

Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, The University of York. <http://www.york.ac.uk/crd/>

10

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). <http://www.nice.org.uk/>

11

Ellis, P. Understanding research for nursing students. Exeter: : Learning Matters 2010.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bournemouth-ebooks/detail.action?docID=680104>

12

Craig, J.V. and Smyth, R.L. The evidence-based practice manual for nurses. 3rd ed. Edinburgh: : Churchill Livingstone Elsevier 2011.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/bournemouth-ebooks/detail.action?docID=1721691>

13

Parahoo, K. Nursing research: principles, process and issues. Third edition. Basingstoke, Hampshire: : Palgrave Macmillan 2014.
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/874930505>

14

Roberts, P. and Priest, H. Reliability and validity in research. Nursing standard 2006;**20**:41–5.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?authtype=ip,shib&custid=s7547708&direct=true&db=ccm&AN=106336170&site=eds-live&scope=sit>
e

15

Hewitt-Taylor, J. Evidence-based practice. Nursing Standard 2002;**17**:47–52.
<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://journals.rcni.com/doi/pdfplus/10.7748/ns2002.12.17.14.47.c3320>

16

Bulpitt, H. and Martin, P.J. Who am I and what am I doing? Becoming a qualitative research interviewer. Nurse Researcher 2010;**17**:7–16.
<https://dx.doi.org/10.7748/nr2010.04.17.3.7.c7741>

17

Holloway, I. Qualitative research in nursing and healthcare. Fourth edition. Chichester, England: : Wiley Blackwell 2017. <https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/965607286>

18

Szyjka, S. Understanding reseach paradigms: trends in science education research. Problems of Education in the 21st Century 2012;**43**:110–8.
<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?authtype=ip,shib&custid=s7547708&direct=true&db=eue&AN=77924598&site=ehost-live>

19

Vogt, W.P. Dictionary of statistics & methodology: a nontechnical guide for the social sciences. 3rd ed. Los Angeles, [Calif.]: : SAGE 2005.
<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://SRMO.sagepub.com/view/dictionary-of-statistics-methodology/SAGE.xml>

20

Ingham-Broomfield, R. A nurses' guide to the critical reading of research. Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing 2008;**26**
:102–9.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/7128380375>

21

Nevo, I. and Slonim-Nevo, V. The Myth of Evidence-Based Practice: Towards Evidence-Informed Practice. British Journal of Social Work 2011;**41**
:1176–97.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5113553372>

22

Caldwell, K., Henshaw, L. and Taylor, G. Developing a framework for critiquing health research: An early evaluation. Nurse Education Today 2011;**31**
:e1–7.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5903010954>

23

Hek, G. Evidence-based practice: finding the evidence. Journal of Community Nursing 2000;**14**:19–22.

24

Cranston, M. Clinical effectiveness and evidence-based practice. Nursing Standard 2002;**16**
:39–43.<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://journals.rcni.com/doi/pdf/10.7748/ns2002.02.16.24.39.c3161>

25

Meadows, K.A. So you want to do research? 1: an overview of the research process. British Journal Of Community Nursing 2003;**8**
:369–75.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/112112984>

26

Improving the quality of quantitative research reports: A call for action. Human Resource Development Quarterly 2011;**22**:387-94.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5155057658>

27

Rolfe, R. Validity, trustworthiness and rigour: quality and the idea of qualitative research. Journal of Advanced Nursing 2006;**53**:304-10.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5155955776>

28

Facchiano L, Hoffman Snyder C. Evidence-based practice for the busy nurse practitioner: Part three: Critical appraisal process. Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners 2012;**24**:704-15. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-7599.2012.00752.x>

29

Satherley, P., Allen, D. and Lyne, P. Supporting evidence-based service delivery and organisation: a comparison of an emergent realistic appraisal technique with a standard qualitative critical appraisal tool. International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare 2007;**5**:477-86.<https://onlinelibrary-wiley-com.bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1479-6988.2007.00062.x>

30

Karabacak, U., Uslusoy, E.C., Senturan, L. and Alpar, S.E. First day in clinical practice: Evaluating stress of nursing students and their ways to cope with it. Healthmed Published Online First: 2012.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289481269_First_day_in_clinical_practice_Evaluating_stress_of_nursing_students_and_their_ways_to_cope_with_it

31

Coolican, H. Research methods and statistics in psychology. Seventh edition. London: : Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group 2019.

<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/1079201945>

32

Gerrish, K. and Lathlean, J. The research process in nursing. Seventh edition. Chichester: : Wiley Blackwell 2015. <https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/1313862522>

33

Holloway, I. and Galvin, K. Qualitative research in nursing and healthcare. Fourth edition. Ames, Iowa: : John Wiley & Sons, Ltd 2017.
<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/965607286>

34

Coughlan, M., Cronin, P. and Ryan, F. Step-by-step guide to critiquing research. Part 1: quantitative research. British Journal of Nursing 2007;**16**
:658-63.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/160007395>

35

Goodman, B. Crunch the numbers. Nursing Standard 2008;**22**
:49-49.[https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?authtype=ip,shib&custid=s7547708
&direct=true&db=ccm&AN=105914080&site=eds-live&scope=site](https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?authtype=ip,shib&custid=s7547708&direct=true&db=ccm&AN=105914080&site=eds-live&scope=site)

36

Jamieson, S. Likert scales: how to (ab)use them. Medical Education 2004;**38**
:1217-8.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/4599858461>

37

Lee, P. Understanding and critiquing quantitative research papers. Nursing Times 2006;
102
:28-30.[https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=J
S&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00006203-200607110-0000
4&LSLINK=80&D=ovft](https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00006203-200607110-00004&LSLINK=80&D=ovft)

38

Roberts, P. and Priest, H. Reliability and validity in research. Nursing standard 2006;**20**:41–5.<https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?authtype=ip,shib&custid=s7547708&direct=true&db=ccm&AN=106336170&site=eds-live&scope=sit>e

39

Thomas, E. An introduction to medical statistics for health care professionals: describing and presenting data. Musculoskeletal Care 2004;**2**:218–28.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5156019079>

40

Thomas, E. An introduction to medical statistics for health care professionals: Hypothesis tests and estimation. Musculoskeletal Care 2005;**3**:102–8.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5156019543>

41

Windish, D.M. and Diener-West, M. A clinician-educator's roadmap to choosing and interpreting statistical tests. Journal of General Internal Medicine 2006;**21**:656–60.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5156962012>

42

Heaslip, V., Hewitt-Taylor, J. and Rowe, N.E. Reflecting on nurses' views on using research in practice. British Journal of Nursing 2012;**21**:1341–6.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5813493486>

43

NHS Institute for Innovation and Improvement. Root Cause Analysis Using Five Whys. http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20121116081144/https://www.institute.nhs.uk/quality_and_service_improvement_tools/quality_and_service_improvement_tools/identifying_problems_-_root_cause_analysis_using5_whys.html

44

Phillips, J. Using fishbone analysis to investigate problems. Nursing Times 2013;**109**:18–20.<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&CSC=Y&NEWS=N&PAGE=fulltext&AN=00006203-201304160-00004&LSLINK=80&D=ovft>

45

Overseas Development Institute (ODI). Planning tools: Problem Tree Analysis.
<http://www.odi.org/publications/5258-problem-tree-analysis>

46

Value Based Management.net. Summary of McKinsey's 7S model.
http://www.valuebasedmanagement.net/methods_7S.html

47

De Montfort University. How to undertake a literature search and review for dissertations and final year projects. <http://www.library.dmu.ac.uk/Images/Howto/LiteratureSearch.pdf>

48

University of Reading. Doing your literature search.
<http://www.reading.ac.uk/library/finding-info/guides/literature-searching/lib-literature-searching.aspx>

49

CASP International. www.caspinternational.org.
http://www.caspinternational.org/mod_product/uploads/CASP%20Qualitative%20Research%20Checklist%2031.05.13.pdf

50

Checklist - Evaluating qualitative research studies.
<http://wps.prenhall.com/wps/media/objects/1532/1569569/checklists/chap07b.pdf>

51

Anderson, C. Presenting and Evaluating Qualitative Research. American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education 2010;**74**:1-7.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/704714069>

52

Pyrzczak, F. and Tcherni-Buzzeo, M. Evaluating research in academic journals: a practical guide to realistic evaluation. Seventh edition. New York: : Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group 2019.
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/Bournemouth-ebooks/detail.action?docID=5574225>

53

Timmins, F. and McCabe, C. How to conduct an effective literature search. (cover story). Nursing Standard 2005;**20**:41-7.<https://dx.doi.org/10.7748/ns2005.11.20.11.41.c4010>

54

Greenhalgh, T. How to read a paper: Assessing the methodological quality of published papers. BMJ 1997;**315**:305-8.<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://www.bmj.com/content/315/7103/305>

55

Greenhalgh, T. How to read a paper : getting your bearings (deciding what the paper is about). BMJ 1997;**315**:243-6.<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://www.bmj.com/content/315/7102/243>

56

Greenhalgh, T. How to read a paper: The Medline database. BMJ 1997;**315**:180-3.<https://bournemouth.on.worldcat.org/oclc/5855936760>

57

Greenhalgh, T. How to read a paper: Statistics for the non-statistician. I: Different types of data need different statistical tests. *BMJ* 1997;**315**:364-6.<https://bournemouth.idm.oclc.org/login?url=http://www.bmj.com/content/315/7104/364>

58

Ellis, P. Evidence-based practice in nursing. Fourth edition. Los Angeles: : Learning Matters 2019.

59

Ellis, P. Understanding research for nursing students. Fourth edition. Los Angeles: : Learning Matters 2018.

60

Motulsky, H. Intuitive biostatistics: a nonmathematical guide to statistical thinking. Third edition. New York: : Oxford University Press 2014.

61

Moule, P. Making sense of research in nursing, health and social care. Fifth edition. Los Angeles: : SAGE 2015.

62

Moule, P., Aveyard, H. and Goodman, M.L. Nursing research: an introduction. 3rd edition. Los Angeles: : SAGE 2017.

63

Polit, D.F. and Beck, C.T. Essentials of nursing research: appraising evidence for nursing practice. Ninth edition. Philadelphia: : Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 2017.

64

Polit, D.F. Nursing research : generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice. Tenth edit. Philadelphia: : Wolters Kluwer Health 2017.

65

Rebar, C.R., Gersch, C.J., Macnee, C.L. and McCabe, S. Understanding nursing research: using research in evidence-based practice. 3rd ed. Philadelphia, Pa: : Wolters Kluwer/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 2011.

66

Vogt, W.P. and Johnson, B. The SAGE dictionary of statistics & methodology: a nontechnical guide for the social sciences. Fifth edition. Los Angeles: : SAGE 2016.